

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
11

12
13 LLOYD F. BENSON, III,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.
16

17 CITIBANK, N.A., et al.,

18 Defendants.
19

Case No.: 12-cv-760 JSC

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE:
FAILURE TO PROSECUTE**

20
21 Now pending before the Court is Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second
22 Amended Complaint, filed February 15, 2013. (Dkt. No. 35.) Plaintiff has not filed an
23 Opposition or Statement of non-opposition to the Motion to Dismiss, as required by Local
24 Rule 7-3(b). Accordingly, the Court hereby ORDERS Plaintiff to SHOW CAUSE as to why
25 this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff should file a response to
26 the ORDER to SHOW CAUSE, along with a response to the Motion to Dismiss, on or before
27 April 1, 2013. If no response is received, this action may be dismissed with prejudice,
28 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b), for failure to prosecute.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 25, 2013



JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

United States District Court
Northern District of California